

To the County Commission and Officeholders of Crawford County, Missouri

The State Auditor's Office through the State Office of Administration, Division of Purchasing, contracted the audit services of Crawford County, Missouri, for the two years ended December 31, 2002. A copy of this audit which was performed by Larson, Allen, Weishair & Co., L.L.P., Certified Public Accountants, is attached.

Claire C. McCaskill State Auditor

Que McCashill

Report No. 2003-71 July 21, 2003



CLAIRE C. McCASKILL

Missouri State Auditor

Larson, Allen, Weishair & Co., LLP, Certified Public Accountants, performed the audit of Crawford County for the two years ended December 31, 2002 (Report No. 2003-71). On September 24, 2003, the firm issued a correction to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards, the Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, and the Management Letter. In addition, the dates for the Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance with Requirements Applicable to Each Major Program and Internal Control Over Compliance in Accordance with OMB Circular A-133 and the Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance and on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards were changed to reflect the issue date for the corrected report. The attached reflects the correction.



CPAs, Consultants & Advisors www.larsonallen.com

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON COMPLIANCE AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

To the County Commission and Officeholders of Crawford County, Missouri

We have audited the special-purpose financial statements of various funds of Crawford County, Missouri, as of and for the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001, and have issued our report thereon dated May 29, 2003. We conducted our audit in accordance with U.S. generally accepted auditing standards and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Compliance

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the special-purpose financial statements of various funds of Crawford County, Missouri, are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of the county's compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grants, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*. However, we noted certain immaterial instances of noncompliance that we have reported to the management of the county in a separate letter dated May 29, 2003.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the special-purpose financial statements of various funds of Crawford County, Missouri, we considered the county's internal control over financial reporting in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the special-purpose financial statements and not to provide assurance on the internal control over financial reporting. However, we noted certain matters involving the internal control over financial reporting and its operation that we consider to be reportable conditions. Reportable conditions involve matters coming to our attention relating to significant deficiencies in the design or operation of the internal control over financial reporting that, in our judgement, could adversely affect Crawford County's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial data consistent with the assertions of management in the special-purpose financial statements. Reportable conditions are described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs as finding numbers 02-1.

Page Two

A material weakness is a condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that misstatements in amounts that would be material in relation to the special-purpose financial statements being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. Our consideration of the internal control over financial reporting would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be reportable conditions and, accordingly, would not necessarily disclose all reportable conditions that are also considered to be material weaknesses. However, we believe that none of the reportable conditions described above are material weaknesses.

This report is intended for the information and the use of the administration, management, County Commissioners, State of Missouri Auditor's Office and Federal and State awarding agencies and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specific parties.

Lun. Alla Wash . ho, cof

LARSON, ALLEN, WEISHAIR & CO., LLP

September 24, 2003 St. Louis, Missouri



CPAs, Consultants & Advisors www.larsonallen.com

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS APPLICABLE TO EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH OMB CIRCULAR A-133

To the County Commission and Officeholders of Crawford County, Missouri

Compliance

We have audited the compliance of Crawford County, Missouri with the types of compliance requirements described in the *U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement* that are applicable to each of its major federal programs for the year ended December 31, 2002 and 2001. Crawford County, Missouri's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs. Compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants applicable to each of its major federal programs is the responsibility of Crawford County, Missouri's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on Crawford County, Missouri's compliance based on our audit.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with U.S. generally accepted auditing standards; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about Crawford County, Missouri's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination on Crawford County, Missouri's compliance with those requirements.

In our opinion, Crawford County, Missouri complied, in all material respects, with the requirements referred to above that are applicable to each of its major federal programs for the year ended December 31, 2002 and 2001. However, we noted certain immaterial instances of noncompliance that we have reported to the management of the county in a separate letter dated May 29, 2003.

Page Two

Internal Control Over Compliance

The management of Crawford County, Missouri is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants applicable to federal programs. In planning and performing our audit, we considered Crawford County, Missouri's internal control over compliance with requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133.

Our consideration of the internal control over compliance would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be material weaknesses. A material weakness is a condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that noncompliance with applicable requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants that would be material in relation to a major federal program being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. We noted no matters involving the internal control over compliance and its operation that we consider to be material weaknesses.

This report is intended for the information and the use of the administration, management, County Commissioners, State of Missouri Auditor's Office and Federal and State awarding agencies and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specific parties.

Lan, Allen, Wash , log C CP

LARSON, ALLEN, WEISHAIR & CO., LLP

September 24, 2003 St. Louis, Missouri

CRAWFORD COUNTY, MISSOURI SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2002 AND 2001

Section I - Summary of Auditor's Results

Financial	Statements
-----------	------------

Type of aud	ditor's report issued: Unqualified			
Internal cor	ntrol over financial reporting:			
Materia	I weakness identified?	Yes	X No	
 Reporta 	able condition identified that are not considere	ed to be m X Yes		esses? Reported
Noncomplia	ance material to financial statements noted?			Reported
Federal Award	<u>s</u>	162	X No	
Internal control	over major programs:			
Materia	I weakness identified?	Yes	<u>X</u> No	
Reporta	able condition identified that are not considere		naterial weakne X Non	
Type of aud	ditor's report issued on compliance for major	programs	: Unqualified	
Any audit findir	ngs disclosed that are required to be reported	l in accord	dance with sect	ion 510(a)
of Circular A-13	33'?	Yes	<u>X</u> No	
Identification of	major programs?			
CFDA Number(s)	Name of Federal Program or Cluster		2002 Amount	2001 Amount
10.557	Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants and Children	r	\$ 58,273	\$ 67.975
93.563	Child Support Enforcement		82,061	82,487
20.205	Highway Planning and Construction		849,592 \$ 989,926	15,500 \$ 165,962
Dollar thresho	ld used to distinguish between type A and typ	oe B		\$ 300,000
Auditee qualifie	ed as low-risk auditee?	Yes	XNo	

CRAWFORD COUNTY, MISSOURI SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (CONTINUED) FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2002 AND 2001

Section II - Financial Statement Findings

Finding: 02-1

Criteria: SAS No. 55, Consideration of Internal Control in a Financial Statement Audit, as amended by SAS No. 78, Consideration of Internal Control in a Financial Statement Audit: An Amendment to SAS No. 55.

Condition: Lack of sufficient segregation of duties.

Questioned Costs: None.

Context: A review of the internal control procedures.

Effect: The design of the internal control over financial reporting that could adversely affect the ability to record, process, summarize and report financial data consistent with the assertions of management in the financial statements.

Cause: Size and budget constraints limiting the number of personnel within the accounting department.

Recommendation: These areas should be reviewed periodically and consideration given to improving the segregation of duties.

Management's Response: We will review periodically the size and budget constraints limiting the number of personnel within the accounting department. We will continue to utilize the other internal controls, such as administrative oversight, to minimize the lack of sufficient segregation of duties.

Section III - Federal Awards Findings and Questioned Costs

There were no audit findings related to internal control, compliance, questioned costs, or fraud that related to federal awards for the year ended December 31, 2002 and 2001.

CRAWFORD COUNTY, MISSOURI SCHEDULES OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS (CONTINUED) FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2002 AND 2001

Purpose of Schedule and Reporting Entity

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards has been prepared to comply with the requirements of OMB Circular A-133. This circular requires a schedule that provides total federal awards expended for each federal program and the Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance (CFDA) number or other identifying number when the CFDA information in not available.

The schedule includes all federal awards administered by Crawford County, Missouri.

Basis of Presentation

OMB Circular A-133 includes these definitions, which govern the contents of the schedule:

Federal financial assistance means assistance that non-Federal entities receive or administer in the form of grants, loans, loan guarantees, property (including donated surplus property), cooperative agreements, interest subsidies, insurance, food commodities, direct appropriations, and other assistance, but does not include amounts received as reimbursement for services rendered to individuals.

Federal award means Federal financial assistance and Federal cost-reimbursement contracts that non-Federal entities receive directly from Federal awarding agencies or indirectly from pass-through entities. It does not include procurement contracts, under grants or contracts, used to buy goods or services from vendors.

Accordingly, the schedule includes expenditures of both cash and noncash awards

Basis of Accounting

Except as noted below, the schedule is presented on the cash basis of accounting, which recognizes amounts only when disbursed in cash.

Of the amounts for Immunization Grants (CFDA number 93.268), \$25,136 and \$14,220 represent the original acquisition cost of vaccines purchased by the Centers for Disease Control of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services but distributed to the Health Center through the State Department of Health during the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001. Of the amounts for the Maternal and Child Health Services Block Grant to the States (CFDA 93.994), \$23,037 and \$18,774 represent the original cost of vaccines received by the Health Center through the State Department of Health during the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001. The remaining amounts for Immunization Grants and the Maternal and Child Health Services Block Grant to the States represent cash disbursements.

Subrecipients

The county provided no federal awards to subrecipients during the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001.

CRAWFORD COUNTY, MISSOURI MANAGEMENT LETTER DECEMBER 31, 2002



CPAs, Consultants & Advisors
www.larsonallen.com

To the County Commission and Officeholders of Crawford County, Missouri:

In planning and performing our audit of the special-purpose financial statements of Crawford County, Missouri as of and for the two years ended December 31, 2002, we considered its internal control in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the special-purpose financial statements and not to provide assurance on the internal control. Reportable conditions involve matters coming to our attention relating to significant deficiencies in the design or operation of the internal control that, in our judgement, could adversely affect the County's ability to record, process, summarize, and report financial data consistent with the assertions of management in the special-purpose financial statements.

A material weakness is a reportable condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control elements does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that errors or irregularities in amounts that would be material to the special-purpose financial statements being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions.

Our consideration of the internal control would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control structure that might be reportable conditions and, accordingly, would not necessarily disclose all reportable conditions that are also considered to be material weaknesses as defined above.

REPORTABLE CONDITIONS

We noted the following reportable condition that is not considered to be a material weakness.

Segregation of Duties

The small size of the accounting department and Recorder's Office limits the extent of a proper segregation of duties. The basic premise is that no one employee should have access to both physical assets and the related accounting records or to all phases of a transaction. This results in some risk that intentional or unintentional errors could be made and not detected.

We noted the following:

1 The County Treasurer and the Recorder is responsible for recording various cash receipts, reconciling the bank statements, preparing and recording cash disbursements, and reconciling the general ledger.

OBSERVATIONS

We noted the following observations that are not considered to be reportable conditions, but are comments to help strengthen internal controls and operating efficiency.

Senate Bill 40

Because the Senate Bill 40 Board is audited and separately reported on by other independent auditors, the related fund is not presented in the special-purpose financial statements. However, we reviewed that audit report and other applicable information.

Assessor's Office

Currently, the Assessor's Office does not always deposit money on a daily basis. We encourage the Assessor's Office to deposit monies on a daily basis and to continue to maintain all County monies in fully secured bank accounts, while gaining the Board of Commissioner's approval for opening and closing all bank and depositary accounts.

Allowable Costs-Single Audit

During our testing of expenditure transactions, it was noted, that expenditures were not properly authorized by the supervisor. In addition, we discovered that several expenditures were not properly coded to correct account classification.

Summary of Federal Expenditures

Each year the County prepares a summary of the expenditures of Federal awards. This report is submitted to the Missouri State Auditors Office. During the audit period covered the County omitted a program from that report. The omitted program was with the Department of Transportation and was audited subsequent to notification by the State of Missouri.

Changes in Reporting Requirements

The Governmental Accounting Standards Board recently released a new financial statement reporting requirement commonly referred to as "GASB 34, Basic Financial Statements and Management's Discussion and Analysis for State and Local Governments". The intent of GASB 34 is to standardize financial statement reporting across governmental entities and to make them more comparable to statements issued by like-sized profit-seeking companies. Adherence to GASB 34 is a requirement for all local governmental entities, including school districts, and the gradual implementation requirement is as follows:

Entities With Revenues	Fiscal Year Beginning After
Greater than \$100 million \$10 - \$100 million	June 15, 2001 June 15, 2002
Less than \$10 million	June 15, 2003

GASB 34 will require government-wide financial statements to include a Statement of Activities and a Statement of Net Assets. It will also require as supplemental information a narrative section referred to as "Management Discussion and Analysis" to further communicate the operations and goals of the School District. As management is ultimately responsible for the financial statements, they will likewise be responsible for all statements as reported under GASB 34.

Page Three

Audit Engagement and County's Policies and Procedures

During the audit process, we encountered certain difficulties in our attempt to retrieve audit evidence. As a result, additional procedures and inquiries were required. Ultimately, all inquiries and requests were satisfied and resolved.

It appears that the overall accounting procedures laid down by the County are being adhered to in most cases by officials within each office.

We welcome the opportunity to discuss the points mentioned in this letter, or any other accounting and procedural problems in order to coordinate our efforts with you, the mutual objectives being the development of more effective accounting, financial reporting, and business procedures for the County.

We understand that some of the aforementioned points are in the process of implementation or may already have been implemented; however, these points are noted so that effective follow up can be accomplished.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the County Commission and Officeholders of Crawford County, Missouri, and others within the administration.

Thank you for the opportunity to be of service to you. Please do not hesitate to call us whenever you believe we can be of assistance.

Zaur Allen Workato, CCP

LARSON, ALLEN, WEISHAIR & CO., LLP

May 29, 2003 St. Louis, Missouri

CRAWFORD COUNTY, MISSOURI

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS WITH INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

DECEMBER 31, 2002 AND 2001

CRAWFORD COUNTY, MISSOURI TABLE OF CONTENTS

FINANC	IAL SECTION	
Indepen	dent Auditor's Reports	1
Financia	I Statements	
<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>Description</u>	
A-1 A-2	Statement of Receipts, Disbursements, and Changes in Cash – Various Funds Year Ended December 31, 2002 Year Ended December 31, 2001	3 4
Financia	I Statement – Budget versus Actual	
В	Comparative Statements of Receipts, Disbursements, and Changes in Cash – Budget and Actual- Various Funds For the Years Ended December 31, 2002 and 2001	5
Notes to	Financial Statements	14
FEDERA	AL FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE – SINGLE AUDIT SECTION	
Auditor's	Reports	
	Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance and on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	18
	Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance with Requirements Applicable To Each Major Program and Internal Control Over Compliance in Accordance with OMB Circular A-133	20
	Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs For the Years Ended December 31, 2002 and 2001	22
	Summary Schedule of Prior Year Audit Findings For the Years Ended December 31, 2002 and 2001	24
	Corrective Action Plan	25
Schedul	es	
1	Schedules of Expenditures of Federal Awards For the Years Ended December 31, 2002 and 2001	26

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

To the County Commission and Officeholders of Crawford County, Missouri

We have audited the special-purpose financial statements of various funds of Crawford County, Missouri, as of and for the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001, as identified in the table of contents. These special-purpose financial statements are the responsibility of the county's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these special-purpose financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with U.S. generally accepted auditing standards and *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the special-purpose financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the special-purpose financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and the significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

The accompanying special-purpose financial statements were prepared for the purpose of presenting the receipts, disbursements, and changes in cash of various funds of Crawford County, Missouri, and comparisons of such information with the corresponding budgeted information for various funds of the county and are not intended to be a complete presentation of the financial position and results of operations of those funds or of Crawford County.

In our opinion, the special-purpose financial statements referred to in the first paragraph present fairly, in all material respects, the receipts, disbursements, and changes in cash of various funds of Crawford County, Missouri, and comparisons of such information with the corresponding budgeted information for various funds of the county as of and for the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001, in conformity with the comprehensive basis of accounting discussed in Note 1, which is a basis of accounting other than U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

Page Two

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued a report dated May 29, 2003, on our consideration of the county's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grants. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* and should be read in conjunction with this report in considering the results of our audit.

Our audit was performed for the purpose of forming an opinion on the special-purpose financial statements taken as a whole. The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*, and is not a required part of the special-purpose financial statements of Crawford County, Missouri. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the special-purpose financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the special-purpose financial statements taken as a whole.

Original Signed by Auditor

LARSON, ALLEN, WEISHAIR & CO., LLP

May 29, 2003 St. Louis, Missouri

CRAWFORD COUNTY, MISSOUR STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND CHANGES IN CASH - VARIOUS FUNDS YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2002

Fund	Cash, January 1	Receipts	Disbursements	Cash, December 31
General Revenue Special Road and Bridge	\$ 57,485 1,079,510	\$ 2,360,315 2,342,354	\$ 2,387,686 2,958,336	\$ 30,114 463,528
Assessment	38,162	184,447	179,585	43,024
Law Enforcement Training Prosecuting Attorney Training	7,922 2,319	9,055 1,372	8,103 1,417	8,874 2,274
ADA Capital Improvement	66,651	3,793	3,134	67,310
Handicap	150,488	8,792	159,280	-
Courthouse and Jail Capital Improvement	169,115	129,068	68,886	229,297
Prosecuting Attorney Bad Check	7,739	19,368	13,592	13,515
Prosecuting Attorney Delinquent Tax	1,298	78	-	1,376
Records Preservation	14,314	17,429	15,518	16,225
Law Enforcement Equipment	9,470	11,096	14,835	5,731
Sheriff's Special	24,881	45,673	41,194	29,360
Children's Trust	1,750 4,714	1,066 463	2,000 5,020	816 157
Special Law Enforcement Emergency 911	187,545	542,748	5,020 515,807	214,486
Family Access	1,134	342,740	313,00 <i>1</i>	1,134
Missouri Department of Conservation	7,730	_	_	7,730
Election Service	1,502	965	2,456	11
Senior Citizens Service	-	89,494	89,494	_
Records Fund For Technology	3,274	8,438	-	11,712
County Jail Project	-	639,421	340,810	298,611
County Jail Bond Project	182	10	-	192
Circuit Clerk Interest	4,355	2,418	1,908	4,865
Associate Circuit Interest	6,175	7,363	4,329	9,209
Law Library	21,270	11,961	11,902	21,329
Total	\$ 1,868,985	\$ 6,437,187	\$ 6,825,292	\$ 1,480,880

CRAWFORD COUNTY, MISSOUR STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND CHANGES IN CASH - VARIOUS FUNDS YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2001

Fund	Cash, January 1	Receipts	Disbursements	Cash, December 31
General Revenue	\$ 38,719	\$ 2,288,133	\$ 2,269,367	\$ 57,485
Special Road and Bridge	1,310,868	1,977,684	2,209,042	1,079,510
Assessment	32,542	162,914	157,294	38,162
Law Enforcement Training	6,957	7,794	6,829	7,922
Prosecuting Attorney Training	1,968	1,333	982	2,319
ADA Capital Improvement	66,241	3,935	3,525	66,651
Handicap	142,002	8,486	-	150,488
Courthouse and Jail Capital Improvement	124,930	133,349	89,164	169,115
Prosecuting Attorney Bad Check	1,526	17,671	11,458	7,739
Prosecuting Attorney Delinquent Tax	1,250	74	26	1,298
Records Preservation	7,132	12,961	5,779	14,314
Law Enforcement Equipment	3,726	18,204	12,460	9,470
Sheriff's Special	17,958	40,633	33,710	24,881
Children's Trust	797	953	-	1,750
Special Law Enforcement	1,317	7,272	3,875	4,714
Emergency 911	112,329	381,019	305,803	187,545
Family Access	1,041	93	-	1,134
Missouri Department of Conservation	7,730	-	-	7,730
Election Service	909	2,148	1,555	1,502
Senior Citizens Service	7,783	73,729	81,512	-
Records Fund For Technology	-	3,274	-	3,274
County Jail Bond Project	-	182	-	182
Circuit Clerk Interest	2,896	4,489	3,030	4,355
Associate Circuit Interest	3,717	6,761	4,303	6,175
Law Library	20,059	10,986	9,775	21,270
Total	\$ 1,914,397	\$ 5,164,077	\$ 5,209,489	\$ 1,868,985

	Year Ended December 31,											
		2002		•								
			Variance			Variance						
			Favorable			Favorable						
	Budget	Actual	(Unfavorable)	Budget	Actual	(Unfavorable)						
TOTALS - VARIOUS FUNDS		-										
RECEIPTS	\$ 5,336,176	\$6,415,445	\$1,079,269	\$5,003,878	\$5,141,841	\$ 137,963						
DISBURSEMENTS	6,430,912	6,807,153	(376,241)	5,922,497	5,192,381	730,116						
RECEIPTS OVER (UNDER) DISBURSEMENTS	\$(1,094,736)	\$ (391,708)	\$ 703,028	\$ (918,619)	\$ (50,540)	\$ 868,079						
CASH, JANUARY 1	1,837,185	1,837,185	-	1,887,725	1,887,725	-						
CASH, DECEMBER 31	\$ 742,449	\$1,445,477	\$ 703,028	\$ 969,106	\$1,837,185	\$ 868,079						
051150 11 DEL/51115 51110												
GENERAL REVENUE FUND RECEIPTS												
	¢ 167.000	¢ 150,600	f (0.210)	¢ 170.500	¢ 140.410	¢ (20.000)						
Property taxes	\$ 167,000	\$ 158,682	\$ (8,318)	\$ 179,500	\$ 149,412	\$ (30,088)						
Sales taxes	890,000	848,957	(41,043)	875,000	834,434	(40,566)						
Intergovernmental	756,537	639,509	(117,028)	530,725	625,105	94,380						
Charges for services	456,000	438,600	(17,400)	380,500	391,301	10,801						
Interest	7,000	7,610	610	10,000	6,500	(3,500)						
Other	71,000	228,957	157,957	202,050	244,293	42,243						
Transfers in	38,000	38,000		37,088	37,088							
Total Receipts	\$ 2,385,537	\$2,360,315	\$ (25,222)	\$ 2,214,863	\$2,288,133	\$ 73,270						
DISBURSEMENTS												
County Commission	\$ 92,728	\$ 92,364	\$ 364	\$ 91,762	\$ 90,175	\$ 1,587						
County Clerk	79,837	78,302	1,535	91,859	85,298	6,561						
Elections	91,605	82,012	9,593	31,804	41,765	(9,961)						
Buildings and grounds	68,070	71,127	(3,057)	69,000	73,516	(4,516)						
Employee fringe benefits	17,000	1,688	15,312	18,000	19,872	(1,872)						
County Treasurer	33,835	33,315	520	33,114	31,860	1,254						
County Collector	100,437	102,495	(2,058)	98,753	99,328	(575)						
Ex Officio Recorder of Deeds	46,575	47,041	(466)	46,802	46,277	525						
Circuit Clerk	7,000	8,285	(1,285)	15,330	9,901	5,429						
Associate Circuit Court	8,000	6,865	1,135	9,500	8,126	1,374						
Court administration	9.050	7.489	1.561	9.050	11.007	(1,957)						
Public Administrator	43,248	43,011	237	41,858	42,728	(870)						
Sheriff	436,254	608,012	(171,758)	446.083	411,172	34.911						
Jail	506,204	431,008	75,196	412,377	562,706	(150,329)						
Prosecuting Attorney	150,551	160,300	(9,749)	151,957	147,604	4,353						
Juvenile Officer	47,330	48,475	(1,145)	37,860	40,350	(2,490)						
County Coroner	18,659	18,578	81	20,281	21,425	(1,144)						
Other General County Government	149.600	161,356	(11,756)	140.537	143.601	(3,064)						
Child Support	102.776	100,763	2,013	90,366	96.978	(6,612)						
Public health and welfare services	304,078	285,200	18,878	284,962	273,290	11,672						
Emergency Fund	71,566	205,200	71,566	65,060	12,388	52,672						
Total Disbursements	\$ 2,384,403	\$2,387,686	\$ (3,283)	\$2,206,315	\$2,269,367	\$ (63,052)						
	\$ 2,384,403		\$ (3,283)		\$ 2,269,367	\$ (63,052)						
RECEIPTS OVER (UNDER) DISBURSEMENTS		\$ (27,371)				φ 10,∠18						
CASH, JANUARY 1 CASH, DECEMBER 31	57,485 \$ 58.619	57,485 \$ 30,114	\$ (28,505)	38,719 \$ 47,267	38,719 \$ 57,485	\$ 10,218						
OASH, DECEMBER 31	φ 30,019	φ 30,114	φ (20,005)	φ 41,201	φ 37,400	φ 10,∠10						

	Year Ended December 31,											
			2002				•					
				Variance							/ariance	
					avorable					Favorable		
		Budget	Actual	(Ur	nfavorable)	Budget		Actual		(Unfavorable		
SPECIAL ROAD AND BRIDGE FUND												
RECEIPTS				_								
Property taxes	\$	430,000	\$ 412,433	\$	(17,567)	\$	400,000	\$	382,022	\$	(17,978)	
Sales taxes		670,000	668,815		(1,185)		656,000		649,294		(6,706)	
Intergovernmental		869,819	1,106,796		236,977		814,000		717,879		(96,121)	
Charges for services		1,000	1,996		996		2,000		810		(1,190)	
Interest		76,000	73,925		(2,075)		74,000		82,553		8,553	
Other		10,140	78,389		68,249		6,300		145,126		138,826	
Transfers in			-	_		_	4,000	_	-		(4,000)	
Total Receipts	\$	2,056,959	\$2,342,354	\$	285,395	\$	1,956,300	\$ 1	1,977,684	\$	21,384	
DISBURSEMENTS	_			_		_		_		_		
Salaries	\$	590,000	\$ 549,967	\$	40,033	\$	560,000	\$	530,704	\$	29,296	
Employee fringe benefits		119,000	87,257		31,743		89,560		86,627		2,933	
Road and bridge materials		500,000	274,869		225,131		430,000		104,295		325,705	
Equipment purchases		542,000	318,977		223,023		365,000		355,770		9,230	
Construction, repair, and maintenance		235,126	1,087,542		(852,416)		50,000		31,686		18,314	
Other		830,000	601,724		228,276		1,124,000	1	1,062,872		61,128	
Transfers out	_	38,000	38,000	_	- (404.040)	_	37,088	-	37,088	_	-	
Total Disbursements		2,854,126	\$2,958,336		(104,210)		2,655,648		2,209,042	\$	446,606	
RECEIPTS OVER (UNDER) DISBURSEMENTS	\$	(797,167)	\$ (615,982)	\$	181,185		(699,348)		(231,358)	\$	467,990	
CASH, JANUARY 1		1,079,510	1,079,510	σ.	181,185		1,310,868		1,310,868	σ.	467,990	
CASH, DECEMBER 31	\$	282,343	\$ 463,528	\$	181,185	\$	611,520	\$	1,079,510	\$	467,990	
ASSESSMENT FUND												
RECEIPTS	•	470.000	A 477 FOF	•	7.000	•	440.500	•	450 505	•	40.005	
Intergovernmental	\$	170,322	\$ 177,585	\$	7,263	\$	142,500	\$	158,535	\$	16,035	
Interest		3,500	4,173		673		4,000		3,904		(96)	
Other		200	-		(200)		100		475		375	
Charges For Services	•	1,800	2,689	_	889	_	1,800	•	-	•	(1,800)	
Total Receipts	\$	175,822	\$ 184,447	\$	8,625	\$	148,400	\$	162,914	\$	14,514	
DISBURSEMENTS	•	404 700	¢ 470.505	Φ.	0.044	Φ.	100 100	•	457.004	•	4.000	
Assessor	\$	181,796 181,796	\$ 179,585	\$	2,211 2,211	\$	162,133 162,133	\$	157,294 157,294	\$	4,839	
Total Disbursements	\$	(5,974)	\$ 179,585 \$ 4,862	\$	10,836	\$	(13,733)	\$ \$	5.620	\$	4,839 19,353	
RECEIPTS OVER (UNDER) DISBURSEMENTS CASH, JANUARY 1	Ф	(5,974) 38.162	\$ 4,862 38.162	Ф	10,030	Ф	32.542	Ф	32.542	Ф	19,353	
CASH, JANUARY 1 CASH, DECEMBER 31	\$	32,188	\$ 43,024	\$	10,836	\$	18.809	\$	38,162	\$	19,353	
OAGII, DEGENIDEN 31	Ψ	32,100	ψ 40,024	Ψ	10,000	Ψ	10,009	Ψ	30,102	Ψ	19,000	

	Year Ended December 31,												
				2002			2001						
	Budget			Actual	Variance Favorable (Unfavorable)		Budget		Actual		Fa	ariance avorable favorable)	
LAW ENFORCEMENT TRAINING FUND													
RECEIPTS													
Intergovernmental	\$	5,000	\$	5,308	\$	308	\$	5,800	\$	4,559	\$	(1,241)	
Charges for services		75		280		205		350		564		214	
Other		2,900		3,467		567		3,000		2,671		(329)	
Total Receipts	\$	7,975	\$	9,055	\$	1,080	\$	9,150	\$	7,794	\$	(1,356)	
DISBURSEMENTS	_												
Sheriff	\$	7,000	\$	8,103	\$	(1,103)	\$	8,000	\$	6,829	\$	1,171	
Total Disbursements	\$	7,000	\$	8,103	\$	(1,103)	\$	8,000	\$	6,829	\$	1,171	
RECEIPTS OVER (UNDER) DISBURSEMENTS	\$	975	\$	952	\$	(23)	\$	1,150	\$	965	\$	(185)	
CASH, JANUARY 1	_	7,922	_	7,922	•	- (00)	•	6,957	_	6,957	•	(405)	
CASH, DECEMBER 31	\$	8,897	\$	8,874	\$	(23)	\$	8,107	\$	7,922	\$	(185)	
PROSECUTING ATTORNEY TRAINING FUND RECEIPTS Charges for services Intergovernmental Total Receipts	\$ 	1,150 - 1,150	\$	1,372 - 1,372	\$	222 - 222	\$	1,365 - 1,365	\$	1,309 24 1,333	\$	(56) 24 (32)	
DISBURSEMENTS													
Prosecuting Attorney	\$	1,000	\$	1,417	\$	(417)	\$	2,500	\$	982	\$	1,518	
Total Disbursements	\$	1,000	\$	1,417	\$	(417)	\$	2,500		982	\$	1,518	
RECEIPTS OVER (UNDER) DISBURSEMENTS CASH, JANUARY 1	\$	150 2,319	\$	(45) 2,319	\$	(195)	\$	(1,135) 1,968	\$	351 1,968	\$	1,486	
CASH, DECEMBER 31	\$	2,469	\$	2,274	\$	(195)	\$	833	\$	2,319	\$	1,486	
ADA CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT FUND													
RECEIPTS	•		•	0.700	•	700	•		•	0.005	•	005	
Interest	\$	3,000	\$	3,793	<u>\$</u>	793 793	<u>\$</u>	3,000	<u>\$</u>	3,935	\$	935	
Total Receipts DISBURSEMENTS	\$	3,000	\$	3,793	\$	793	_ \$	3,000	\$	3,935	\$	935	
Other	\$	15,000	\$	3,134	\$	11,866	\$	22,000	\$	3,525	\$	18,475	
Total Disbursements	\$	15,000	\$	3,134	\$	11,866	\$	22,000	\$	3,525	\$	18,475	
RECEIPTS OVER (UNDER) DISBURSEMENTS	\$	(12,000)	\$	659	\$	12,659	\$	(19,000)	\$	410	\$	19,410	
CASH, JANUARY 1		66,651		66,651		· -		66,241		66,241		· -	
CASH, DECEMBER 31	\$	54,651	\$	67,310	\$	12,659	\$	47,241	\$	66,651	\$	19,410	

	Year Ended December 31,												
				2002			2001						
		Budget	Actual		Variance Favorable (Unfavorable)		Budget		Actual		F	/ariance avorable ifavorable)	
HANDICAP FUND		Daaget		7 totaai	(011	iavorabic)		Daaget		/ lotual	(01	ilavorabic)	
RECEIPTS													
Interest	\$	8,500	\$	8,792	\$	292	\$	2,000	\$	8,486	\$	6,486	
Total Receipts	\$	8,500	\$	8,792	\$	292	<u>\$</u>	2,000	\$	8,486	\$	6,486	
DISBURSEMENTS													
Building Purchase	\$	150,000	\$	159,280	\$	(9,280)	\$	140,000	\$	-	\$	140,000	
Total Disbursements	\$	150,000	\$	159,280	\$	(9,280)	\$	140,000	\$	-	\$	140,000	
RECEIPTS OVER (UNDER) DISBURSEMENTS	\$	(141,500)	\$	(150,488)	\$	(8,988)	\$	(138,000)	\$	8,486	\$	146,486	
CASH, JANUARY 1		150,488		150,488				142,002		142,002			
CASH, DECEMBER 31	\$	8,988	\$	-	\$	(8,988)	\$	4,002	\$	150,488	\$	146,486	
COURTHOUSE AND JAIL CAPITAL IMPROVEM	ENT I	FUND											
RECEIPTS	•	100 000	•	440.000	•	(4.07.4)	•	440 500	•	444.504	•	(0.040)	
Sales Taxes	\$	120,000	\$	118,026	\$	(1,974)	\$	118,500	\$	114,581	\$	(3,919)	
Interest		7,000		10,862		3,862		9,500		7,837		(1,663)	
Transfers In		-		180		180		-		40.004		40.024	
Intergovernmental	_	107.000	-		•		_	100.000	•	10,931	Ф.	10,931	
Total Receipts DISBURSEMENTS	\$	127,000	\$	129,068	\$	2,068	\$	128,000	\$	133,349	\$	5,349	
Equipment	æ	130,000	æ	68,886	æ	61,114	φ.	179,000	¢.	89,164	æ	89,836	
Total Disbursements	<u>\$</u> \$	130,000	<u>\$</u>	68.886	<u>\$</u>	61,114	<u>\$</u>	179,000	<u>\$</u> \$	89.164	<u>\$</u> \$	89.836	
RECEIPTS OVER (UNDER) DISBURSEMENTS	\$	(3,000)	\$	60.182	\$	63.182	\$	(51,000)	\$	44.185	\$	95.185	
CASH, JANUARY 1	Ψ	169,115	Ψ	169,115	Ψ	03, 102	Ψ	124,930	Ψ	124,930	Ψ	93,103	
CASH, DECEMBER 31	\$	166,115	\$	229,297	\$	63,182	\$	73,930	\$	169,115	\$	95,185	
ONON, BESEMBER OF	Ψ	100,110	Ψ	220,201	Ψ	00,102	Ψ	70,000	Ψ	100,110	Ψ	30,100	
PROSECUTING ATTORNEY BAD CHECK FUND	1												
RECEIPTS	-												
Charges for services	\$	15.000	\$	18.804	\$	3.804	\$	13,000	\$	17,470	\$	4,470	
Interest	Ψ	300	Ψ	564	Ψ	264	Ψ	300	Ψ	201	Ψ	(99)	
Other		-		-		-		1,000		-		(1,000)	
Total Receipts	\$	15,300	\$	19.368	\$	4,068	\$	14,300	\$	17,671	\$	3,371	
DISBURSEMENTS		,		,		.,		,		,		-,	
Prosecuting Attorney	\$	12,912	\$	13,592	\$	(680)	\$	14,900	\$	11,458	\$	3,442	
Total Disbursements	\$	12,912	\$	13,592	\$	(680)	\$	14,900	\$	11,458	\$	3,442	
RECEIPTS OVER (UNDER) DISBURSEMENTS	\$	2,388	\$	5,776	\$	3,388	\$	(600)	\$	6,213	\$	6,813	
CASH, JANUARY 1		7,739		7,739		· -		1,526		1,526		, -	
CASH, DECEMBER 31	\$	10,127	\$	13,515	\$	3,388	\$	926	\$	7,739	\$	6,813	
			_				_		_				

	Year Ended December 31,												
				2002						2001		-	
					Va	riance					Va	ariance	
					Fa	vorable				Favorable			
	E	Budget		Actual	(Unfa	avorable)	Budget Actual				(Unfavorable)		
PROSECUTING ATTORNEY DELINQUENT TAX	FUND			_		<u> </u>					`	<u> </u>	
RECEIPTS													
Interest	\$	73	\$	78	\$	5	\$	70	\$	74	\$	4	
Total Receipts	\$	73	\$	78	<u>\$</u> \$	5	<u>\$</u> \$	70	<u>\$</u> \$	74	\$	4	
DISBURSEMENTS													
Prosecuting Attorney	\$	1,000	\$	_	\$	1,000	\$	1,000	\$	26	\$	974	
Total Disbursements	<u>\$</u> \$	1,000	\$		\$	1,000	<u>\$</u> \$	1,000	<u>\$</u> \$	26	\$	974	
RECEIPTS OVER (UNDER) DISBURSEMENTS	\$	(927)	\$	78	\$	1,005	\$	(930)	\$	48	\$	978	
CASH, JANUARY 1		1,298		1,298		-		1,250		1,250		-	
CASH, DECEMBER 31	\$	371	\$	1,376	\$	1,005	\$	320	\$	1,298	\$	978	
RECORDS PRESERVATION FUND RECEIPTS Intergovernmental Interest Total Receipts DISBURSEMENTS	\$	13,000 600 13,600	\$	16,474 955 17,429	\$ <u></u>	3,474 355 3,829	\$	12,000 400 12,400	\$	12,366 595 12,961	\$	366 195 561	
Ex Officio Recorder of Deeds	\$	18.756	\$	15,518	\$	3.238	\$	14,600	\$	5.779	\$	8.821	
Total Disbursements	\$	18.756	\$	15,518	\$	3,238	\$	14,600	\$	5,779	\$	8,821	
RECEIPTS OVER (UNDER) DISBURSEMENTS	\$	(5,156)	\$	1.911	\$	7,067	\$	(2,200)	\$	7.182	\$	9.382	
CASH. JANUARY 1	*	14.314	*	14.314	Ψ	- ,55.	Ψ.	7.132	Ψ.	7,132	*	-	
CASH, DECEMBER 31	\$	9,158	\$	16,225	\$	7,067	\$	4,932	\$	14,314	\$	9,382	
LAW ENFORCEMENT EQUIPMENT FUND RECEIPTS Other Total Receipts	\$ \$	8,800 8,800	\$	11,096 11,096	\$	2,296 2,296	\$ \$	8,500 8,500	\$	18,204 18,204	\$ \$	9,704 9,704	
DISBURSEMENTS	•	40.000	•	44.005	•	4.405	•	40.000	•	40.400	•	(0.400)	
Sheriff	<u>\$</u> \$	16,000	\$	14,835	<u>\$</u> \$	1,165	<u>\$</u> \$	10,000	\$	12,460	\$	(2,460)	
Total Disbursements		16,000	\$	14,835		1,165		10,000	\$	12,460	\$	(2,460)	
RECEIPTS OVER (UNDER) DISBURSEMENTS	\$	(7,200)	\$	(3,739)	\$	3,461	\$	(1,500)	\$	5,744	\$	7,244	
CASH, JANUARY 1		9,470	_	9,470	_	2 404	-	3,726	_	3,726	-	7 044	
CASH, DECEMBER 31	\$	2,270	\$	5,731	\$	3,461	\$	2,226	\$	9,470	\$	7,244	

	Year Ended December 31,											
				2002				,		2001		
						ariance						ariance
						avorable					Favorable	
		Budget		Actual	(Unfavorable)		Budget		Actual		(Unfavorable)	
SHERIFF'S SPECIAL FUND												
RECEIPTS	•	44 500	•	44.500	•	0.000	•	00.000	•	00.054	•	4.054
Intergovernmental	\$	41,500	\$	44,533	\$	3,033	\$	38,000	\$	39,251	\$	1,251
Interest	_	1,200 42,700	_	1,140	_	(60)	_	1,000	Ф.	1,382	_	382 1.633
Total Receipts DISBURSEMENTS	\$	42,700	\$	45,673	\$	2,973	\$	39,000	\$	40,633	\$	1,033
Sheriff	\$	62.900	\$	41.194	\$	21.706	\$	34,037	\$	33.710	\$	327
Total Disbursements	\$	62,900	\$	41,194	\$	21,706	\$	34,037	\$	33,710	\$	327
RECEIPTS OVER (UNDER) DISBURSEMENTS	\$	(20,200)	\$	4.479	\$	24,679	\$	4.963	\$	6,923	\$	1,960
CASH, JANUARY 1	Ψ	24,881	Ψ	24,881	Ψ	24,073	Ψ	17,958	Ψ	17,958	Ψ	1,300
CASH, DECEMBER 31	\$	4,681	\$	29,360	\$	24,679	\$	22,921	\$	24,881	\$	1,960
57.51.1, BEGEINBERTOT	<u> </u>	.,00.	Ť	20,000	<u> </u>	2 1,01 0	<u> </u>	,	Ť	2 1,00 1	Ť	.,000
CHILDREN'S TRUST FUND												
RECEIPTS												
Intergovernmental	\$	900	\$	972	\$	72	\$	1,000	\$	887	\$	(113)
Interest		60		94		34		320		66		(254)
Total Receipts	\$	960	\$	1,066	\$	106	\$	1,320	\$	953	\$	(367)
DISBURSEMENTS												
Other	<u>\$</u> \$	1,000	\$	2,000	\$	(1,000)	\$	1,000	\$	-	\$	1,000
Total Disbursements		1,000	\$	2,000	\$	(1,000)	\$	1,000	\$	-	\$	1,000
RECEIPTS OVER (UNDER) DISBURSEMENTS	\$	(40)	\$	(934)	\$	(894)	\$	320	\$	953	\$	633
CASH, JANUARY 1	_	1,750		1,750	_	- (00.4)	_	797	_	797		-
CASH, DECEMBER 31	\$	1,710	\$	816	\$	(894)	\$	1,117	\$	1,750	\$	633
CDECIAL LAW ENFORCEMENT FUND												
SPECIAL LAW ENFORCEMENT FUND RECEIPTS												
Intergovernmental	\$	1,500	\$	312	\$	(1,188)	\$	2,500	\$	7,096	\$	4,596
Interest	Ψ	1,300	Ψ	151	Ψ	51	Ψ	130	Ψ	176	Ψ	4,590
Total Receipts	\$	1.600	\$	463	\$	(1,137)	\$	2,630	\$	7,272	\$	4,642
DISBURSEMENTS	Ψ	1,000	Ψ_	+00	Ψ	(1,137)	Ψ	2,000	Ψ_	1,212	Ψ	7,072
Other	\$	4,000	\$	5,020	\$	(1,020)	\$	1.000	\$	3,875	\$	(2,875)
Total Disbursements	\$	4.000	\$	5.020	\$	(1,020)	\$	1.000	\$	3,875	\$	(2,875)
RECEIPTS OVER (UNDER) DISBURSEMENTS	\$	(2,400)	\$	(4,557)	\$	(2,157)	\$	1,630	\$	3,397	\$	1.767
CASH. JANUARY 1	•	4,714	*	4,714	-	-, /	7	1,317	-	1,317	•	-,
CASH, DECEMBER 31	\$	2,314	\$	157	\$	(2,157)	\$	2,947	\$	4,714	\$	1,767

	Year Ended December 31,								
		2002		2001					
			Variance			Variance			
			Favorable			Favorable			
	Budget	Actual	(Unfavorable)	Budget	Actual	(Unfavorable)			
EMERGENCY 911 FUND		-							
RECEIPTS									
Emergency 911	\$ 400,000	\$ 542,748	\$ 142,748	\$ 392,420	\$ 381,019	\$ (11,401)			
Total Receipts	\$ 400,000	\$ 542,748	\$ 142,748	\$ 392,420	\$ 381,019	\$ (11,401)			
DISBURSEMENTS						,			
Emergency 911	\$ 497,789	\$ 515,807	\$ (18,018)	\$ 383,851	\$ 305,803	\$ 78,048			
Total Disbursements	\$ 497,789	\$ 515,807	\$ (18,018)	\$ 383,851	\$ 305,803	\$ 78,048			
RECEIPTS OVER (UNDER) DISBURSEMENTS	\$ (97,789)	\$ 26,941	\$ 124,730	\$ 8,569	\$ 75,216	\$ 66,647			
CASH, JANUARY 1	187,545	187,545	-	112,329	112,329	-			
CASH, DECEMBER 31	\$ 89,756	\$ 214,486	\$ 124,730	\$ 120,898	\$ 187,545	\$ 66,647			
FAMILY ACCESS FUND RECEIPTS Charges for services Interest Total Receipts DISBURSEMENTS Other Total Disbursements RECEIPTS OVER (UNDER) DISBURSEMENTS	\$ 30 60 \$ 90 \$ 1,000 \$ 1,000 \$ (910)	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ (30) (60) \$ (90) \$ 1,000 \$ 1,000 \$ 910	\$ 50 60 \$ 110 \$ 1,000 \$ 1,000 \$ (890)	\$ 30 63 \$ 93 \$ - \$ - \$ 93	\$ (20) 3 \$ (17) \$ 1,000 \$ 1,000 \$ 983			
CASH, JANUARY 1	1,134 \$ 224	1,134 \$ 1,134	<u> </u>	1,041 \$ 151	1,041 \$ 1,134	\$ 983			
CASH, DECEMBER 31	\$ 224	\$ 1,134	\$ 910	\$ 151	\$ 1,134	\$ 983			
MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION RECEIPTS Charges for services Total Receipts	FUND \$ - \$ -	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u> \$ -	<u>\$ -</u> \$ -	<u>\$ -</u> \$ -	· <u>\$ -</u>			
DISBURSEMENTS	φ -	φ -	φ -	φ -	φ -	φ -			
Other Total Disbursements RECEIPTS OVER (UNDER) DISBURSEMENTS CASH. JANUARY 1	\$ 7,730 \$ 7,730 \$ (7,730) 7,730	\$ - \$ - 7,730	\$ 7,730 \$ 7,730 \$ 7,730	\$ 7,730 \$ 7,730 \$ (7,730) 7,730	\$ - \$ - \$ 7,730	\$ 7,730 \$ 7,730 \$ 7,730			
CASH, DECEMBER 31	\$ -	\$ 7,730	\$ 7.730	\$ -	\$ 7,730	\$ 7.730			
Orioni, December or	<u> </u>	Ψ 1,100	Ψ 1,100		Ψ 1,100	Ψ 1,100			

	Year Ended December 31.											
				2002						2001		
		Budget		Actual	Fa	ariance ivorable avorable)		Budget		Actual	Fa	ariance vorable avorable)
ELECTION SERVICE FUND		Juaget		rictual	(0111	avolubic)		Juaget		/ totaai	(0111	avolubic)
RECEIPTS												
Other	\$	1,000	\$	901	\$	(99)	\$	_	\$	2,062	\$	2,062
Interest	•	80	*	64	Ψ.	(16)	Ψ.	50	Ψ.	86	Ψ.	36
Total Receipts	\$	1.080	\$	965	\$	(115)	\$	50	\$	2,148	\$	2,098
DISBURSEMENTS	<u> </u>	.,				(110)						
Other	\$	1,000	\$	2,456	\$	(1.456)	\$	_	\$	1,555	\$	(1,555)
Total Disbursements	\$	1.000	\$	2,456	\$	(1,456)	\$		\$	1,555	\$	(1,555)
RECEIPTS OVER (UNDER) DISBURSEMENTS	\$	80	\$	(1,491)	\$	(1,571)	\$	50	\$	593	\$	543
CASH, JANUARY 1	•	1,502	•	1,502	•		•	909	•	909	•	-
CASH, DECEMBER 31	\$	1,582	\$	11	\$	(1,571)	\$	959	\$	1,502	\$	543
							_					
SENIOR CITIZENS SERVICE FUND RECEIPTS Property Taxes Interest Total Receipts DISBURSEMENTS Senior Citizen Board Total Disbursements	\$ \$ \$	82,500 - 82,500 82,500 82,500	\$ \$ \$	89,490 4 89,494 89,494 89,494	\$ \$ \$	6,990 4 6,994 (6,994) (6,994)	\$ \$ \$	70,000 - 70,000 77,783 77,783	\$ \$ \$	72,899 830 73,729 81,512 81,512	\$ \$ \$	2,899 830 3,729 (3,729) (3,729)
RECEIPTS OVER (UNDER) DISBURSEMENTS	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	(7,783)	\$	(7,783)	\$	-
CASH, JANUARY 1	•				_		-	7,783		7,783	_	
CASH, DECEMBER 31	\$		\$		\$		\$		\$		\$	
RECORDS FUND FOR TECHNOLOGY RECEIPTS Intergovernmental Interest Total Receipts	\$	3,500 30 3,530	\$	8,056 382 8,438	\$	4,556 352 4,908	\$	- - -	\$	3,251 23 3,274	\$	3,251 23 3,274
DISBURSEMENTS												
Other	\$	1,000	\$	-	\$	1,000	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Total Disbursements	\$	1,000	\$	_	<u>\$</u> \$	1,000	<u>\$</u>	-	\$	-	\$	_
RECEIPTS OVER (UNDER) DISBURSEMENTS	\$	2,530	\$	8,438	\$	5,908	\$	_	\$	3,274	\$	3,274
CASH, JANUARY 1		3,274		3,274		-		-		-		· -
CASH, DECEMBER 31	\$	5,804	\$	11,712	\$	5,908	\$	_	\$	3,274	\$	3,274

					Υe	ear Ended D	ecembe	r 31,				
				2002					2	001		
	Bu	dget		Actual	Fa	ariance avorable favorable)	Bud	lget	A	ctual	Fav	riance orable vorable)
COUNTY JAIL PROJECT FUND												
RECEIPTS												
Sales Tax	\$	-	\$	634,243	\$	634,243	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Interest		-		5,178		5,178		-		-		-
Total Receipts	\$	-	\$	639,421	\$	639,421	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
DISBURSEMENTS				-								
County Jail	\$	-	\$	340,810	\$	(340,810)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Total Disbursements	\$	-	\$	340,810	\$	(340,810)	<u>\$</u> \$	-	\$	-	\$	-
RECEIPTS OVER (UNDER) DISBURSEMENTS	\$	-	\$	298,611		298,611	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
CASH, JANUARY 1		-		-		-		-		-		-
CASH, DECEMBER 31	\$	-	\$	298,611	\$	298,611	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
COUNTY JAIL BOND PROJECT FUND RECEIPTS												
County Jail	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	182	\$	182
Interest	•	-	•	10	-	10	*	-	•	-	•	-
Total Receipts	\$	-	\$	10	\$	10	\$	-	\$	182	\$	182
DISBURSEMENTS												
County Jail	\$	-	\$	_	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Total Disbursements	\$	-	\$	-	\$	_	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
RECEIPTS OVER (UNDER) DISBURSEMENTS	\$	-	\$	10	\$	10	\$	-	\$	182	\$	182
CASH, JANUARY 1	•	182		182		-		-	•	-		-
CASH, DECEMBER 31	\$	182	\$	192	\$	10	\$	-	\$	182	\$	182
			_						_			

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Reporting Entity and Basis of Presentation

The accompanying special-purpose financial statements present the receipts, disbursements, and changes in cash of various funds of Crawford County, Missouri, and comparisons of such information with the corresponding budgeted information for various funds of the county. The funds presented are established under statutory or administrative authority, and their operations are under the control of the County Commission, an elected county official, the Senior Citizens Service Board or the Emergency 911 Board. The General Revenue Fund is the county's general operating fund, accounting for all financial resources except those required to be accounted for in another fund. The other funds presented account for financial resources whose use is restricted for specified purposes.

Basis of Accounting

The financial statements were prepared on the cash basis of accounting; accordingly, amounts are recognized when received or disbursed in cash. This basis of accounting differs from U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, which require revenues to be recognized when they become available and measurable or when they are earned and expenditures or expenses to be recognized when the related liabilities are incurred.

Budgets and Budgetary Practices

The County Commission and other applicable boards are responsible for the preparation and approval of budgets for various county funds in accordance with Sections 50.525 through 50.745, RSMo 2000, the county budget law. These budgets are adopted on the cash basis of accounting.

Although adoption of a formal budget is required by law, the county did not adopt formal budgets for the following funds:

Fund	Year(s) Ended December 31,
Circuit Clerk Interest Fund	2002 and 2001
Associate Circuit Interest Fund Law Library Fund	2002 and 2001 2002 and 2001
Records Fund For Technology	2001
County Jail Project Fund	2002
County Jail Bond Project Fund	2002 and 2001

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

Budgets and Budgetary Practices (Continued)

Warrants issued were in excess of budgeted amounts for the following funds:

Fund	Year(s) Ended December 31,
General Fund Special Road and Bridge Fund Law Enforcement Training Fund Prosecuting Attorney Training Fund Handicap Fund Prosecuting Attorney Bad Check Fund Law Enforcement Equipment Fund Children's Trust Fund Special Law Enforcement Fund Emergency 911 Fund Election Service Fund Senior Citizens Service Fund County Jail Project Fund	2002 and 2001 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2001 2002 2002 and 2001 2002 2002 and 2001 2002 and 2001 2002 and 2001

Section 50.740, RSMo 2000, prohibits expenditures in excess of the approved budgets.

Published Financial Statements

Under Sections 50.800 and 50.810, RSMo 2000, the County Commission is responsible for preparing and publishing in a local newspaper a detailed annual financial statement for the county. The financial statement is required to show receipts or revenues, disbursements or expenditures, and beginning and ending balances for each fund.

However, the county's published financial statements did not include the following funds:

Fund	Year(s) Ended December 31,
Circuit Clerk Interest Fund	2002 and 2001
Associate Circuit Interest Fund	2002 and 2001
Law Library	2002 and 2001

NOTE 2 CASH

Section 110.270, RSMo 2000, based on Article IV, Section 15, Missouri Constitution, authorizes counties to place their funds, either outright or by repurchase agreement, in U.S. Treasury and agency obligations. In addition, Section 30.950, RSMo 2000, requires political subdivisions with authority to invest in instruments other than depositary accounts at financial institutions to adopt a written investment policy. Among other things, the policy is to commit a political subdivision to the principles of safety, liquidity, and yield (in that order) when managing public funds and to prohibit purchase of derivatives (either directly or through repurchase agreements), use of leveraging (through either reverse repurchase agreements or other methods), and use of public funds for speculation. The county has adopted such a policy.

In accordance with Statement No. 3 of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, Deposits with Financial Institutions, Investments (Including Repurchase Agreements), and Reverse Repurchase Agreements, disclosures are provided below regarding the risk of potential loss of cash deposits. For the purposes of these disclosures, deposits with financial institutions are demand, time and savings accounts, including certificates of deposit and negotiable order of withdrawal accounts, in banks, savings institutions, and credit unions.

Deposits

The financial statements do not include the cash balances of the County Collector, who collects and distributes property taxes as an agent for various local governments. However, for the purpose of these risk disclosures, the County Collector's cash balances are included since collateral securities to cover amounts not covered by federal depositary insurance are pledged to the county rather than to specific county officials.

The County's deposits at December 31, 2002 and 2001, were entirely covered by federal depositary insurance or by collateral securities held by the county's custodial bank in the county's name, or by commercial insurance provided through a surety bond.

The Emergency 911 Board's deposits at December 31, 2002 and 2001, were entirely covered by federal depositary insurance or by collateral securities held by the Emergency 911 Board's custodial bank in the Emergency 911 Board's name.

The Senior Citizens Service Board's deposits at December 31, 2002 and 2001, were entirely covered by federal depositary insurance or by collateral securities held by the Senior Citizens Service Board's custodial bank in the Senior Citizens Service Board's name.

To protect the safety of county deposits, Section 110.020, RSMo 2000, requires depositaries to pledge collateral securities to secure county deposits not insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

NOTE 3 TAXES

The assessed valuation of the tangible property for the calendar year 2002 and 2001 for purposes of local taxation was \$191,384,782 and \$182,678,396.

The tax levy per \$100 of the assessed valuation of tangible taxable property for the calendar year 2002 and 2001 for purposes of local taxation was:

	2002	2001		
General Revenue Fund	\$ 0.0821	\$ 0.0749		
Special Road & Bridge Fund	0.2143	0.2143		
Total	\$ 0.2964	\$ 0.2892		

These levies represent amounts directly received by the County for its purposes versus amounts collected by the County as a pass through on behalf of another entity.

NOTE 4 PARTICIPATION IN PUBLIC ENTITY RISK POOLS

Missouri Public Entity Risk Management Fund

The County is exposed to various risks of loss related to torts; theft of, damage to, and destruction of assets; errors and omissions; injuries to employees; and natural disasters. MOPERM (Missouri Public Entity Risk Management) is a public entity risk pool currently operating as a common risk management and insurance program. The County does not pay premiums to purchase insurance policies but pays an assessment to be a member of the self-sustaining risk sharing group. Part of the assessment is used to purchase excess insurance for the group as a whole.

The pooling agreement requires the pool to be self-sustaining. The County believes that it is not possible to estimate the range of contingent losses to be borne by the County.

NOTE 5 CONTINGENCIES

Legal Matters

The County is involved in various threatened litigations at which time the probable outcome is not readily obtainable. The County's management and legal counsel anticipate that the potential claims against the County not covered by insurance, if any, resulting from such matters would not materially affect the financial position of the County.

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON COMPLIANCE AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

To the County Commission and Officeholders of Crawford County, Missouri

We have audited the special-purpose financial statements of various funds of Crawford County, Missouri, as of and for the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001, and have issued our report thereon dated May 29, 2003. We conducted our audit in accordance with U.S. generally accepted auditing standards and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Compliance

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the special-purpose financial statements of various funds of Crawford County, Missouri, are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of the county's compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grants, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*. However, we noted certain immaterial instances of noncompliance that we have reported to the management of the county in a separate letter dated May 29, 2003.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the special-purpose financial statements of various funds of Crawford County, Missouri, we considered the county's internal control over financial reporting in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the special-purpose financial statements and not to provide assurance on the internal control over financial reporting. However, we noted certain matters involving the internal control over financial reporting and its operation that we consider to be reportable conditions. Reportable conditions involve matters coming to our attention relating to significant deficiencies in the design or operation of the internal control over financial reporting that, in our judgement, could adversely affect Crawford County's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial data consistent with the assertions of management in the special-purpose financial statements. Reportable conditions are described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs as finding numbers 02-1.

Page Two

A material weakness is a condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that misstatements in amounts that would be material in relation to the special-purpose financial statements being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. Our consideration of the internal control over financial reporting would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be reportable conditions and, accordingly, would not necessarily disclose all reportable conditions that are also considered to be material weaknesses. However, we believe that none of the reportable conditions described above are material weaknesses.

This report is intended for the information and the use of the administration, management, County Commissioners, State of Missouri Auditor's Office and Federal and State awarding agencies and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specific parties.

Original Signed by Auditor

LARSON, ALLEN, WEISHAIR & CO., LLP

May 29, 2003 St. Louis, Missouri

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS APPLICABLE TO EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH OMB CIRCULAR A-133

To the County Commission and Officeholders of Crawford County, Missouri

Compliance

We have audited the compliance of Crawford County, Missouri with the types of compliance requirements described in the *U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement* that are applicable to each of its major federal programs for the year ended December 31, 2002 and 2001. Crawford County, Missouri's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs. Compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants applicable to each of its major federal programs is the responsibility of Crawford County, Missouri's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on Crawford County, Missouri's compliance based on our audit.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with U.S. generally accepted auditing standards; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about Crawford County, Missouri's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination on Crawford County, Missouri's compliance with those requirements.

In our opinion, Crawford County, Missouri complied, in all material respects, with the requirements referred to above that are applicable to each of its major federal programs for the year ended December 31, 2002 and 2001. However, we noted certain immaterial instances of noncompliance that we have reported to the management of the county in a separate letter dated May 29, 2003.

Page Two

Internal Control Over Compliance

The management of Crawford County, Missouri is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants applicable to federal programs. In planning and performing our audit, we considered Crawford County, Missouri's internal control over compliance with requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133.

Our consideration of the internal control over compliance would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be material weaknesses. A material weakness is a condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that noncompliance with applicable requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants that would be material in relation to a major federal program being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. We noted no matters involving the internal control over compliance and its operation that we consider to be material weaknesses.

This report is intended for the information and the use of the administration, management, County Commissioners, State of Missouri Auditor's Office and Federal and State awarding agencies and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specific parties.

Original Signed by Auditor

LARSON, ALLEN, WEISHAIR & CO., LLP

May 29, 2003 St. Louis, Missouri

CRAWFORD COUNTY, MISSOURI SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2002 AND 2001

Section I – Summary of Auditor's Results

Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee?

Financial Statements Type of auditor's report issued: Unqualified Internal control over financial reporting: Material weakness identified? Yes X No Reportable condition identified that are not considered to be material weaknesses? X Yes None Reported Noncompliance material to financial statements noted? _____ Yes <u>X</u> No Federal Awards Internal control over major programs: Yes X No Material weakness identified? Reportable condition identified that are not considered to be material weakness? Yes X None Reported Type of auditor's report issued on compliance for major programs: Unqualified Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with section 510(a) of Circular A-133? Yes X No Identification of major programs? CFDA 2002 2001 Number(s) Name of Federal Program or Cluster Amount Amount 10.557 Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants and Children \$ 58,273 \$ 67,975 82,061 93.563 Child Support Enforcement 82,487 \$ 140,334 \$ 150,462 Dollar threshold used to distinguish between type A and type B programs: \$ 300,000

_____ Yes <u>X</u> No

CRAWFORD COUNTY, MISSOURI SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (CONTINUED) FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2002 AND 2001

Section II – Financial Statement Findings

Finding: 02-1

Criteria: SAS No. 55, Consideration of Internal Control in a Financial Statement Audit, as amended by SAS No. 78, Consideration of Internal Control in a Financial Statement Audit: An Amendment to SAS No. 55.

Condition: Lack of sufficient segregation of duties.

Questioned Costs: None.

Context: A review of the internal control procedures.

Effect: The design of the internal control over financial reporting that could adversely affect the ability to record, process, summarize and report financial data consistent with the assertions of management in the financial statements.

Cause: Size and budget constraints limiting the number of personnel within the accounting department.

Recommendation: These areas should be reviewed periodically and consideration given to improving the segregation of duties.

Management's Response: We will review periodically the size and budget constraints limiting the number of personnel within the accounting department. We will continue to utilize the other internal controls, such as administrative oversight, to minimize the lack of sufficient segregation of duties.

Section III – Federal Awards Findings and Questioned Costs

There were no audit findings related to internal control, compliance, questioned costs, or fraud that related to federal awards for the year ended December 31, 2002 and 2001.

CRAWFORD COUNTY, MISSOURI SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR YEAR AUDIT FINDINGS FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2002 AND 2001

Financial Statement Findings

The audit did not disclose any noncompliance and internal control findings which are material to the special-purpose financial statements of the County.

Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs

There were no prior year audit findings related to internal control, compliance, questioned costs, or fraud that relate to federal awards for the years ended December 31, 2000 and 1999.

CRAWFORD COUNTY, MISSOURI CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2002 AND 2001

Findings – Financial Statement and Federal Awards

Questions regarding the County's Corrective Action Plan should be directed to Connie Smith at 573-775-2376.

SCHEDULE 1

CRAWFORD COUNTY, MISSOURI SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2002 AND 2001

		Pass-Through	Federal Ex	penditures
Federal		Entity		December 31,
CFDA		Identifying		
Number	Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program Title	Number	2002	2001
	U. S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE			
	Passed through State:			
	Department of Health -			
10.557	Special Supplemental Nutrition Program			
	for Women, Infants, and Children	ERO045	\$ 58,273	\$ 67,975
	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE			
	Passed through State:			
10 ,004	Department of Public Safety -		040	
12.xxx	Surplus Property		940	-
	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE			
	Direct programs:			
16.710	Public Safety Partnership and Community Policing Grants	N/A	39,006	1,796
	Passed through:			
	State Department of Public Safety -			
16.575	Crime Victim Assistance	01-SSVF	-	20,179
16.579	Byrne Formula Grant Program	N/A	-	12,376
16.588	Violence Against Women Formulas Grant	N/A	20,179	-
16.592	Local Law Enforcement Block Grants Program	N/A	13,500	15,880
	LL C. DEDARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION			
	U. S. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION Passed through state Highway and Transportation			
	Commission:			
20.205	Highway Planning and Construction	BRO-2813	-	15,500
	FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY			
83.534	Passed through State Department of Public Safety Emergency Management - State and Local Assistanc	N/A	40,129	
83.544	Public Assistance Grants	IN/A	40,129	-
	U. S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES			
	Passed through State			
93.197	Department of Health - Childhood Lead Poisoning Prevention Projects- Sta			
55.157	and Local Childhood Lead Poisoning Preventic	ERO146	2,364	141
93.268	Immunization Grants	N/A	25,136	14,220
93.919	Cooperative Agreements for State-Based Comprehensiv		,	,
	Breast and Cervical Cancer Early Detection Program	ERO161	3,298	5,556
93.994	Maternal and Child Health Service	ERO146	23,037	18,774
93.940	HIV Preventioin Activities - Health Department Base	N/A	-	10
93.563	Department of Social Services - Child Support Enforcemen	N/A	82,061	82,487
93.575	Child Care Development Block Grar	ERO146	2.198	2,500
	Total Expenditures of Federal Award		\$ 310,121	\$ 257,394

N/A - Not applicable

The accompanying Notes to the Supplementary Schedule are an integral part of this schedule

CRAWFORD COUNTY, MISSOURI SCHEDULES OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS (CONTINUED) FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2002 AND 2001

Purpose of Schedule and Reporting Entity

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards has been prepared to comply with the requirements of OMB Circular A-133. This circular requires a schedule that provides total federal awards expended for each federal program and the Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance (CFDA) number or other identifying number when the CFDA information in not available.

The schedule includes all federal awards administered by Crawford County, Missouri.

Basis of Presentation

OMB Circular A-133 includes these definitions, which govern the contents of the schedule:

Federal financial assistance means assistance that non-Federal entities receive or administer in the form of grants, loans, loan guarantees, property (including donated surplus property), cooperative agreements, interest subsidies, insurance, food commodities, direct appropriations, and other assistance, but does not include amounts received as reimbursement for services rendered to individuals

Federal award means Federal financial assistance and Federal cost-reimbursement contracts that non-Federal entities receive directly from Federal awarding agencies or indirectly from pass-through entities. It does not include procurement contracts, under grants or contracts, used to buy goods or services from vendors.

Accordingly, the schedule includes expenditures of both cash and noncash awards.

Basis of Accounting

Except as noted below, the schedule is presented on the cash basis of accounting, which recognizes amounts only when disbursed in cash.

Of the amounts for Immunization Grants (CFDA number 93.268), \$25,136 and \$14,220 represent the original acquisition cost of vaccines purchased by the Centers for Disease Control of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services but distributed to the Health Center through the State Department of Health during the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001. Of the amounts for the Maternal and Child Health Services Block Grant to the States (CFDA 93.994), \$23,037 and \$18,774 represent the original cost of vaccines received by the Health Center through the State Department of Health during the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001. The remaining amounts for Immunization Grants and the Maternal and Child Health Services Block Grant to the States represent cash disbursements.

Subrecipients

The county provided no federal awards to subrecipients during the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001.

CRAWFORD COUNTY, MISSOURI MANAGEMENT LETTER DECEMBER 31, 2002

To the County Commission and Officeholders of Crawford County, Missouri:

In planning and performing our audit of the special-purpose financial statements of Crawford County, Missouri as of and for the two years ended December 31, 2002, we considered its internal control in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the special-purpose financial statements and not to provide assurance on the internal control. Reportable conditions involve matters coming to our attention relating to significant deficiencies in the design or operation of the internal control that, in our judgement, could adversely affect the County's ability to record, process, summarize, and report financial data consistent with the assertions of management in the special-purpose financial statements.

A material weakness is a reportable condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control elements does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that errors or irregularities in amounts that would be material to the special-purpose financial statements being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions.

Our consideration of the internal control would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control structure that might be reportable conditions and, accordingly, would not necessarily disclose all reportable conditions that are also considered to be material weaknesses as defined above.

REPORTABLE CONDITIONS

We noted the following reportable condition that is not considered to be a material weakness.

Segregation of Duties

The small size of the accounting department and Recorder's Office limits the extent of a proper segregation of duties. The basic premise is that no one employee should have access to both physical assets and the related accounting records or to all phases of a transaction. This results in some risk that intentional or unintentional errors could be made and not detected.

We noted the following:

1. The County Treasurer and the Recorder is responsible for recording various cash receipts, reconciling the bank statements, preparing and recording cash disbursements, and reconciling the general ledger.

OBSERVATIONS

We noted the following observations that are not considered to be reportable conditions, but are comments to help strengthen internal controls and operating efficiency.

Senate Bill 40

Because the Senate Bill 40 Board is audited and separately reported on by other independent auditors, the related fund is not presented in the special-purpose financial statements. However, we reviewed that audit report and other applicable information.

Assessor's Office

Currently, the Assessor's Office does not always deposit money on a daily basis. We encourage the Assessor's Office to deposit monies on a daily basis and to continue to maintain all County monies in fully secured bank accounts, while gaining the Board of Commissioner's approval for opening and closing all bank and depositary accounts.

Allowable Costs-Single Audit

During our testing of expenditure transactions, it was noted, that expenditures were not properly authorized by the supervisor. In addition, we discovered that several expenditures were not properly coded to correct account classification.

Changes in Reporting Requirements

The Governmental Accounting Standards Board recently released a new financial statement reporting requirement commonly referred to as "GASB 34, Basic Financial Statements and Management's Discussion and Analysis for State and Local Governments". The intent of GASB 34 is to standardize financial statement reporting across governmental entities and to make them more comparable to statements issued by like-sized profit-seeking companies. Adherence to GASB 34 is a requirement for all local governmental entities, including school districts, and the gradual implementation requirement is as follows:

Entities With Revenues	Fiscal Year Beginning After
Greater than \$100 million	June 15, 2001
\$10 - \$100 million	June 15, 2002
Less than \$10 million	June 15, 2003

GASB 34 will require government-wide financial statements to include a Statement of Activities and a Statement of Net Assets. It will also require as supplemental information a narrative section referred to as "Management Discussion and Analysis" to further communicate the operations and goals of the School District. As management is ultimately responsible for the financial statements, they will likewise be responsible for all statements as reported under GASB 34.

Audit Engagement and County's Policies and Procedures

During the audit process, we encountered certain difficulties in our attempt to retrieve audit evidence. As a result, additional procedures and inquiries were required. Ultimately, all inquiries and requests were satisfied and resolved.

It appears that the overall accounting procedures laid down by the County are being adhered to in most cases by officials within each office.

Page Three

We welcome the opportunity to discuss the points mentioned in this letter, or any other accounting and procedural problems in order to coordinate our efforts with you, the mutual objectives being the development of more effective accounting, financial reporting, and business procedures for the County.

We understand that some of the aforementioned points are in the process of implementation or may already have been implemented; however, these points are noted so that effective follow up can be accomplished.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the County Commission and Officeholders of Crawford County, Missouri, and others within the administration.

Thank you for the opportunity to be of service to you. Please do not hesitate to call us whenever you believe we can be of assistance.

Original Signed by Auditor

LARSON, ALLEN, WEISHAIR & CO., LLP

May 29, 2003 St. Louis, Missouri